

Angebotsbewertungsmethoden und -kriterien

Wertungsmethode der Vergabe

Wertungsmethode	Die Wirtschaftlichkeitsberechnung wird durchgeführt nach der Preis-Quotienten-Methode, gewichtet nach Preis (40%) und Kriterien (60%).
Gewichtung des Preises	40.00 %
Gewichtung der Kriterien	60.00 %

Wertungskriterien der Vergabe

1 Completeness and Quality of the Bid Documents

Beschreibung	"Under this award criterion, an assessment is made of the extent to which the bid documents demonstrate that the proposed system fully meets the technical, qualitative, and functional requirements specified in the procurement documents. In particular, it is important to the contracting authority that the bid clearly shows how the proposed solution fully complies with the order specification. A positive evaluation is given, in particular, for a clearly structured, technically precise, and consistent description of the system configuration and quality assurance. Low scores will be awarded to bids whose documentation is incomplete, contains only general or unreliable statements, or fails to describe the technical implementation and acceptance testing in sufficient detail."
Art	Qualitätskriterium
Typ	Bewertungskriterium
Gewichtung	20 %
Ausprägung	Standard
Kriterientyp	Graduell
Mindestanforderung	Keine
Antwortoptionen für Bieter	Freitext (Pflichtangabe)

2 Quality Assurance, Acceptance Testing, and Documentation

Beschreibung	"The evaluation focuses on the completeness, traceability, and technical quality of the quality assurance description as well as the technical documentation. The decisive factor is the extent to which the bidder demonstrates that the required quality is ensured, that compliance with the technical requirements is verified through appropriate testing and acceptance procedures, and that the results are documented in a traceable manner. A positive evaluation is given in particular for a clear, complete, and consistent description of the quality assurance measures, the testing and acceptance procedures, and the intended documentation. Bids will receive a lower score if their explanations remain too general, fail to describe essential elements of the testing and documentation concept in sufficient detail, or leave doubts regarding the reliability of the quality assurance and verification process. Evidence of examples of acceptance tests and documentation."
Art	Qualitätskriterium
Typ	Bewertungskriterium
Gewichtung	25 %
Ausprägung	Standard
Kriterientyp	Graduell
Mindestanforderung	Keine

Antwortoptionen für Bieter

Freitext (Pflichtangabe)

3 Delivery time, installation and training**Beschreibung**

"The assessment focuses on the transparency and plausibility of the quoted delivery time, installation, and training. It is particularly important to the client that the delivery time, installation period, and training period specified by the client be confirmed. Shorter, plausibly presented timeframes (delivery time, installation period, and training period) will be evaluated positively. Longer timeframes (delivery time, installation period, and training period) will receive a correspondingly lower score, down to 0 points. Furthermore, the bidder's description of the measures to be taken in the event of impending delivery delays will be evaluated."

Art

Qualitätskriterium

Typ

Bewertungskriterium

Gewichtung

30 %

Ausprägung

Standard

Kriterientyp

Graduell

Mindestanforderung

Keine

Antwortoptionen für Bieter

Freitext (Pflichtangabe)

4 Repair Services and Sustainability**Beschreibung**

The evaluation focuses on the availability of spare parts and on site services, preferably for ten years, service response within 48 h. Availability of spare parts longer than 10 years and service response shorter than 48 h will be evaluated positively. Shorter Availability and longer response time will receive a lower score.

Art

Qualitätskriterium

Typ

Bewertungskriterium

Gewichtung

25 %

Ausprägung

Standard

Kriterientyp

Graduell

Mindestanforderung

Keine

Antwortoptionen für Bieter

Freitext (Pflichtangabe)